



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/315,200	05/19/1999	PAUL WESCHLER	P3776	6203	
7:	590 10/18/2002				
	KUBIDA ESQ.		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
HOGAN & HARTSON LLP 1200 17TH STREET SUITE 1500			PHAM, K	PHAM, KHANH B	
DENVER, CO	80202		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  2177  DATE MAILED: 10/18/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>_</del>		
Advisory Action	09/315,200	WESCHLER, PAUL	W)		
A. C.	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Khanh B. Pham	2177			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ss		
THE REPLY FILED 08 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	•				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most partner adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate exter the final Office action; or (2	nsion fee under !) as set forth in		
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI</li> </ol>					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NOT	Γ place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: None.					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-53.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exami	ner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No		1		
0. Other:	X	mc. Dr	une		
	U	JOHN BREENE			
	SUF	PERVISORY PATENT E)	KAMINER		
Patent and Trademark Office		ECHNOLOGY CENTER	2100		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Claims 1-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Thomas (U.S Patent 5,838,970).

As per claims 1,41, 48 and 49, Thomas teaches "a method for managing a profile service" (Col. 1 lines 5-10) comprising:

"storing at least one true-data attribute in a profile object, said true-data attribute includes a true-data key and at least one true-data value field" at Col. 14 lines 5-65;

"associating at least one meta-data attribute with said true-data attribute, said meta-data attribute includes a meta-data key and at least one meta-data value field" at Col. 3 lines 20-30, and Col. 14, Table 4;

"wherein the meta-data value field describes the associated true-data attribute" at Col. 14, Table 4;

"storing said associated meta-data attribute in said profile object" at Col. 3 lines 20-30, and Col. 14, Table 4;

"managing said true-data attribute according to said associated meta-data attribute" at Col. 14 lines 28-35.

As per claim 18, Thomas teaches a profiling service comprising:

"a plurality of profile objects" at Col. 11 lines 53-65;

"at least one true-data attribute contained in each of said profile object, said true-data attribute includes a true-data key and at least one true-data value field" at Col. 12, table 3;

"at least one meta-data attribute associated with said true-data attribute and contained in said profile object, said meta-data attribute includes a meta-data key and at least one meta-data value field, wherein the meta-data value field describes the associated true-data attribute" at Col. 12, Table 3;

"methods within each profile object to access the user data according to said meta-data attribute" at Col. 13lines 57-60.